Renal trauma management and current approaches
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Abstract

Urinary tract injuries happen in approximately 8-10% of all abdominal trauma patients. Kidney is the most frequently injured organ in the urinary tract with penetrating or blunt trauma, and, if not suitable care is carried out, it may lead to morbidity and mortality. The most important laboratory tests are urinalysis, haematocrit and creatinine for evaluating renal trauma. Hematuria is often seen as microscopic or gross, but it is not enough to differentiate the condition between minor and major injuries. The management of kidney trauma has always been and will always be controversial. Conservative (follow-up) and aggressive (surgical) approach both have their proponents. The conservative management of blunt kidney trauma was first suggested in the first half of the 1900s. Since then, it has been reported that the utilities of this approach have become gradually decreased in terms of rate of nephrectomy, complications, and hospital stay. This approach has gained popularity in penetrating kidney trauma cases especially after the 1980s. Nowadays, conservative management is usually favoured, even in the case of grade IV / V traumas. In addition to this, while many studies have shown the conservative approach to be successful, surgical treatment is used only in appropriate cases in grade V injuries. In this survey, relevant articles and guidelines published between 2000 and 2014 have been reviewed, retrospectively. We aim to review the literature and suggest advices regarding conservative and surgical management of renal trauma.
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Renal trauma exists in about 1-5% of all trauma cases and renal injury occurs in approximately 8-10% of abdominal traumas (1). Renal injuries are classified according to their mechanism as blunt or penetrating. At most trauma centres, blunt trauma is more common than penetrating trauma. Ninety or ninety-five per cent of kidney injury occurs after blunt trauma (2). Renal trauma generally affects young adult males, and the etiology originates from blunt trauma (3). Both kidneys are at same disposition for injury (4). Blunt renal injuries mechanisms comprise of motor vehicle collision, falls, vehicle-associated pedestrian accidents, assault and sports. Traffic accidents cause almost half of all blunt injuries (5). In a frontal crash, renal injuries may be caused by accelerated hits into the steering wheel or the seat belt itself. In a collateral crash, injuries happen when the vehicle side panel intrudes into the partition and hits the occupant (6). Penetrating renal injuries may be more critical. Especially stab wounds and gunshot are the most common causes of penetrating injuries and tend to be more severe and less presumable than those of blunt trauma. Penetrating injury produces direct tissue disruption of the collecting system, renal parenchyma or vascular tissues (7).

The aim of this review is to illustrate and discuss management of renal trauma. The article also discusses the conditions and procedures that can be applied to treat renal trauma. It should be noted that evidence-based guidelines help physicians select the best treatment and are considered to be an important method to regulate and optimize medical care.

Based on a meta-analysis, our study is a retrospective review of the literature between 2000 and 2014. The protocol of this review is in accordance with PRISMA checklist (8). Electronic database searches of PUBMED, EMBASE, and SCOPUS were performed using the following keywords: renal trauma; renal trauma management; blunt renal trauma; penetration renal trauma; renal trauma imagines; and renal trauma guidelines.

**Renal Trauma**

Most renal injuries (90%) are due to blunt trauma causing direct damage (e.g. accidents or crush injuries) or indirect damage causing instantaneous displacement of the kidney (e.g. fall) and penetrating injuries account for the remaining 10% (1). About 40% have been associated intra-abdominal injuries. Direct trauma crushes of kidney against ribs and indirect trauma can result in vascular or pelviureteric disruption.

Two of the most popular approaches are radiologic classification and the AAST grading system for renal injury (9). Commonly used system is that of the American Association for the Surgery of Trauma (AAST) (Table 1) (10).

**Table 1. AAST renal injury grading scale**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade*</th>
<th>Description of injury</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>No laceration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Contusion or non-expanding subcapsular haematoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>Non-expanding peri-renal haematoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cortical laceration &lt; 1 cm deep without extravasation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>Cortical laceration &gt; 1 cm without urinary extravasation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Laceration: through corticomedullary junction into collecting system or Vascular: segmental renal artery or vein injury with contained haematoma, or partial vessel laceration or vessel thrombosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a</td>
<td>(low-risk cases** are likely to be managed non-operatively)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4b</td>
<td>(high-risk cases** are likely to benefit from angiographic embolization, repair or nephrectomy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Laceration: shattered kidney or Vascular: renal pedicle or avulsion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Advance one grade for bilateral injuries up to grade III.

**Peri-renal haematoma, intravascular contrast extravasation, and laceration complexity are the most important radiographic risk factors.

**Diagnosis**

Clinical examination and further evaluation are essential. Signs of major renal injury include a rapid deceleration event or direct blow to the flank. Patient should be interrogated for a precise medical history. Renal surgery history and known pre-existing renal abnormalities should be recorded. Findings on physical examination, such as, flank pain, flank abrasions, hematuria, abdominal distension or mass, could indicate possible renal involvement. Clinical examination alone is insufficient because patients may change mental status or have other distracting injuries. Hemodynamic stability should be defined upon admission.

The most important laboratory tests are urinalysis, haematocrit and creatinine for evaluating renal trauma. Hematuria is often seen microscopically or gross, but it is not enough to differentiate between minor and major injuries (11). Serial haematocrit definition and vital signs are used for continuous evaluation of the trauma patient; a decrease in hematocrit is an indirect sign of loss of blood rate and may require operation. Increased creatinine level generally reflects pre-existing renal pathology (12).

Preference of radiographic imaging in cases of suspected renal trauma depends on the mechanism of injury and clinical findings. Indications for radiographic evaluation are gross hematuria, microscopic hematuria with hypotension, or the presence of major combined injuries.

Ultrasoundography provides a non-invasive and quick evaluation of detecting peritoneal fluid collections without radiation (13). Ultrasonography scans can define lacerations, but cannot exactly identify their extent or
depth. Functional information about excretion or urine leakage is not provided with ultrasound. But it is useful for the common follow-up of parenchymal lesions or haematomas (11). Nowadays Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) is a very popular diagnostic approach to determine the stage of renal trauma. Contrast enhanced computerized tomography (CECT) is considered to be the best form in diagnosis, but Lin et al. report that no significant differences were observed in the trauma detection rates between CEUS and CECT at grade 3 and 4 renal trauma (14).

Although Intravenous pyelography (IVP) is a sensitive method, it has largely been displaced by CT scanning (15). Function and extravasation are the most findings. Non-function is a sign of pedicle injury in extensive trauma to the kidney. The sensitivity of IVP is high (> 92%) for all degrees of trauma intensity (15, 16).

In renal trauma, Computed tomography (CT) is the best method for evaluation of stable patients. Computed tomography is more specific and sensitive than US, IVP, or angiography, since it exactly defines the location of injuries. Intravenous contrast should be administered for renal assessment (17, 18).

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is not the first imaging option in patients with renal trauma because it takes a longer imaging time and patient access is limited. When CT is not available or in patients with iodine allergy, MRI is useful in detecting renal trauma (19).

Computed tomography can provide most of the information required about vascular injuries but angiography can be used to describe the area of injury as well as it offers the chance for treatment by embolization. Angiography is indicated mainly for stable patients who are candidates for radiological control of haemorrhage described on CT (17).

Treatment

a) Non-operative management of renal injuries
Non-operative assessment has become the treatment of choice for most renal injuries (18). In stable patients, this means supportive care with observation and bed-rest (20,21).

All grade 1 and 2 renal injuries due to blunt or penetrating trauma can be treated non-operatively (Figure 1). The treatment of grade 3 injuries has been controvertible, but ultimate studies support expectant treatment (22). Most patients with grade 4 and 5 injuries present with major associated injuries and these patients are often treated with exploration, sometimes nephrectomy is preferred for treatment (23) although emerging data indicate that many of these patients can be managed safely with an expectant approach (23).

Penetrating wounds have classically been approached surgically. However, a systematic procedure based on clinical laboratory findings and radiological evaluation minimizes wrong exploration without increasing morbidity from a missed injury (24). Selective non-operative management of abdominal stab wounds is usually accepted by an increasing rate at trauma centres (25). Consistent bleeding represents the main indication for exploration and reconstruction (26). Management of approximately 50% of stab wounds and up to 40% of gunshot wounds of penetrating injuries are curable with non-operative treatment in selected stable patients (27-29).

Super selective transcatheter renal artery remobilization is the treatment option for hemorrhage due to non-iatrogenic blunt renal trauma and low-grade penetrating renal trauma. This method is an effective, minimally invasive therapy for the treatment of renal trauma haemorrhage (30). Also, some studies suggest this method for the treatment of high-grade renal trauma (31).

In children, the main objective of renal trauma treatment is to protect the kidneys. If hemodynamic findings are stable, a conservative approach should be the first preferred process of treatment in every grade of renal trauma in childhood (32).
b) Operative management of renal injuries

The requirement for renal exploration might be predicted considering the type of injury with injury grade, blood urea nitrogen (BUN) and creatinine levels as well as the suspicion needs (33). Even so, the assessment of renal injury may be influenced by the decision to explore or follow up associated abdominal injuries (34). Indications for renal exploration contain exploration for associated injuries, expanding or pulsatile peri-renal hematoma identified during laparotomy, grade 5 vascular injury, and hemodynamic instability.

Complications

Renal trauma is an unusual cause of hypertension, generally in young men. The frequency of post-traumatic hypertension is predicted to be <5% in all published series (34, 35). Hypertension may occur as a consequence of external compression from perirenal hematoma or scar formation.

Arteriovenous fistulae (AVF) usually presents with belated onset of significant hematuria, most often after penetrating trauma. Percutaneous embolization is frequently effective for symptomatic AVF (36).

Renal artery pseudo aneurysms are unusual complications of blunt abdominal or back trauma in renal injuries that can cause hypertension. If there is a past history of renal trauma, especially renovascular hypertension patients should be examined carefully in terms of renal artery pseudo aneurysms (37).

Consequently, the goals of treatment of renal injuries include correct staging and minimal complications. Physicians may be avoided in most blunt renal injury cases but there is also a trend towards conservative management of penetrating trauma. Surgical treatment is related to high-grade renal injuries, whereas minor renal injuries may safely be managed conservatively. In other words, medical management and minimally invasive techniques should be the first choice for the management of complications.
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